HIRKO & ASSOCIATES

HIRKO AND ASSOCIATES LAW OFFICE

LEGAL UPDATE

Addis Ababa City Administration Revenue Bureau Issues 2018 E.C. Desk Audit Guideline – Part Three: Documentation Standards and Practical Implications

Desk Audit Guideline for the 2018 E.C. Tax Year | Addis Ababa City Administration Revenue Bureau | September 2019 E.C.



OCTOBER 2026





Addis Ababa City Administration Revenue Bureau Issues 2018 E.C. Desk Audit Guideline, Part Three: Documentation Standards and Practical Implications

1. Introduction

This is the third and final part of our series on the Desk Audit Guideline issued by the Addis Ababa City Administration Revenue Bureau for the 2018 E.C. tax year. Part One examined the verification of payroll and employment tax, the balance sheet, and the profit and loss statement. Part Two examined the sector-specific focus areas and the treatment of digital income. Part Three examines the minimum documentation standards that the Guideline imposes, the grounds on which a return may be rejected or adjusted, and the practical implications for taxpayers, advisers, and compliance functions.

The documentation standards are the operational foundation of the desk audit. The analytical tests described in Parts One and Two — the comparison of income with VAT declarations, the reconciliation of purchases with sales and inventory, the testing of receivables and capital, and the verification of sector-specific indicators — all depend on the taxpayer maintaining records that are complete, consistent, and supported by evidence. Where those records are absent or defective, the Guideline provides that the tax may be determined by estimation. This is the provision that gives the desk audit its practical force.

2. Minimum Documentation Required for Acceptance

The Guideline sets out the minimum documentation that a taxpayer is expected to submit for a return to be accepted. These are the completed summary form, the depreciation schedule, the production and sales schedule, and the inventory count report linked to the accounts. Each document serves a specific audit function. The summary form provides the auditor with a structured overview of the return. The depreciation schedule supports the fixed asset and depreciation figures in the balance sheet and profit and loss statement. The production and sales schedule supports the testing of output and revenue, particularly for manufacturers and traders. The inventory count report supports the testing of closing stock against the declared figure, and it is the document that allows the auditor to reconcile the inventory movement with purchases and sales.

The Guideline treats these documents as prerequisites rather than as optional supporting materials. Where they are not provided, or where they are provided but are not linked to the accounts, the return is exposed to adjustment. The requirement that the inventory count report be linked to the accounts is particularly significant. It means that a count report that exists in isolation, without a reconciliation to the inventory ledger and the cost of goods sold, will not satisfy the requirement.

3. Grounds for Rejection or Adjustment

The Guideline identifies several grounds on which a return may be rejected or adjusted. The first is the absence of the minimum documentation described above. The second is the presence of defects in the accounting records, including accounts kept under incorrect account titles, transactions recorded in a manner that does not reflect their substance, and records that are inconsistent with the third-party data available to the auditor.

The Guideline also addresses the treatment of accounts kept under incorrect account titles. It recognizes that some transactions are recorded under titles that do not correspond to their true nature, and it directs auditors to examine whether the misclassification is an error that the taxpayer can correct or a device to conceal income or inflate expenses. Where the misclassification is found to be deliberate, the Guideline provides that the matter is to be treated as an attempt to evade tax, and the books are to be adjusted accordingly. This is a significant provision because it distinguishes between error and evasion, and it places the auditor's assessment of the taxpayer's intent at the centre of the adjustment decision.

The Guideline further provides that where the documentation is not provided, or where the records are found to be defective, the tax may be determined by estimation under the relevant tax administration rules. The estimation power is not unlimited, but it gives the auditor a basis for determining tax where the taxpayer's records cannot be relied upon. For taxpayers, this is the provision that makes the documentation requirements enforceable in practice.

4. Practical Implications for Taxpayers

For taxpayers, Part Three of the Guideline signals that the desk audit is not confined to a review of the figures in the return. It is a review of the records that support those figures, and it is conducted against a defined set of documentation requirements and a defined set of analytical tests. Taxpayers should ensure that the four minimum documents are prepared and that they are linked to the accounts before the return is filed. They should also ensure that transactions are recorded under the correct account titles and that the records are consistent with the third-party data that the revenue office is likely to hold, including VAT declarations, bank statements, customs declarations, and lease agreements.

The practical implications extend beyond the tax year under audit. A return that is supported by complete documentation is less likely to be adjusted, and a taxpayer with a history of well-documented returns is less likely to be selected for a full audit. Conversely, a return that is adjusted at the desk audit stage may trigger a full audit for the same year and for subsequent years. The documentation standards therefore have a preventive as well as a corrective function.

5. Practical Implications for Advisers and Compliance Functions

For advisers and compliance functions, the Guideline provides a basis for reviewing a client's records before the return is filed. The review should test whether the four minimum documents are present and linked to the accounts, whether the account titles reflect the substance of the transactions, and whether the declared figures are consistent with the third-party data that the revenue office is likely to hold. It should also test the sector-specific indicators described in Part Two, because these are the indicators that the auditor will examine. A pre-filing review that addresses these points can reduce the risk of adjustment and can identify issues that should be corrected before the return is submitted.

The Guideline also has implications for the design of internal controls. The reconciliation of purchases, sales, and inventory; the linking of the inventory count report to the accounts; the maintenance of a depreciation schedule; and the preparation of a production and sales schedule are controls that should operate throughout the year, not only at the point of filing. Where these controls are embedded in the accounting system, the documentation requirements become a by-product of ordinary record-keeping rather than a separate compliance exercise.

6. Conclusion

The Desk Audit Guideline for the 2018 E.C. tax year is a detailed operational document, and its three parts together describe a desk audit process that is analytical, evidence-based, and sector-sensitive. Part One examined the verification of payroll, the balance sheet, and the profit and loss statement. Part Two examined the sector-specific focus areas and digital income. Part Three has examined the documentation standards and the practical implications. The common thread across the three parts is that the desk audit tests the declared figures against the records and against third-party data, and that where the records are absent or defective, the tax may be determined by estimation. Taxpayers, advisers, and compliance functions should treat the documentation standards as the foundation of the return, and should review their records against the analytical tests that the Guideline sets out.

Disclaimer

This legal update is provided for general informational purposes only and does not constitute legal advice. The application of the Guideline may depend on the specific circumstances of a taxpayer, transaction, or return, and on the practice of the relevant revenue office. Professional legal and tax advice should be sought before relying on this update or taking any action.

Hirko and Associates Law Office
Addis Ababa, Ethiopia